## Water Quality: Rural Diffuse Pollution

## **Andy Vinten**







## Current projects (RBMP)

- Impact of soils and drainage on water quality and flood risk
- Catchment management and drinking water costs
- Indicators to assess the effectiveness of measures
- Innovative monitoring techniques
- Measures for septic tanks
- Source estimates of ecologically important P
- SRDP evidence base, targeting, guidance







## Draft tender to RESAS on Water Resources & Flood Risk Management



1.2.4, Improved governance, delivery, spatial targeting & communication

1.2.1, Water quantity & morphology *Evidence: sites, data, processes*  1.2.1, Water quality, pollution & ecology: Evidence: sites, data processes

1.2.3, Typologies, risk, resilience & adaptation Resiliense in wastewater disposal & treatment Safe & sustainable drinking water Reducing flood risk

1.2.2, Water quantity & morphology modelling tools, future threats & impacts

1.2.2, Water quality, pollution & ecology: *modelling tools, future threats & impacts* 

1.4, Applications at increasing scale & management complexity

Changing emphasis for diffuse pollution:

fine sediment Pathogens Urban sources

Increased emphasis for: Extreme flows Morphology Resilience Governance Communication Catchment citizenship a key "here and now" need



• Understanding different perspectives

Achieving shared values

Mitigation of real issues

Living with uncertainty

## **Catchment citizenship barometer**



The James Hutton Institute





## Approach to DP mitigation policy in Scotland



National approach –raising awareness, guidance, training in relation to impacts of diffuse pollution, regulations and other measures.

Priority Catchment Selection (SEPA)

**Priority Catchment Approach -**

awareness raising, evidence gathering, farm visits to identify hotspots, target measures and one to one advice. Diffuse pollution monitored priority catchments to demonstrate pollution sources, pathways and impacts, and to inform on costeffectiveness of measures and monitoring (JHI,SAC,SEPA)



for improving Scotland's waters

0

## **River South Esk**

|  | Catchment walks             | 400 km walked – 504 non compliances<br>identified with GBR 19 accounting for 50%<br>and GBR 20 accounting for 46 % of the non<br>compliances identified                     |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
|  | 1 to 1<br>inspections       | 170 farms visited between Oct 2011<br>and Mar 2012  |  |  |  |
|  | Revisits                    | Just over 70 completed another 30<br>remaining. Approximately 50% reduction in<br>GBR breaches observed at time of revisit.<br>GBR 20 still main issue in catchment.        |  |  |  |
|  | Main Issues                 | The greatest pressure within the catchment<br>is resulting from cultivation activities (GBR<br>20). GBR19 (keeping of livestock) is also<br>a major issue in the catchment. |  |  |  |
|  | Awareness<br>raising events | Engagement within the catchment started at a very low baseline, however the land managers have appreciated the DP approach with bridges being made and mended               |  |  |  |

www.sepa.org.uk

Key research elements to develop catchment citizenship



Understanding different perspectives

Achieving shared values

Mitigation of real issues

Living with uncertainty

### **Emerging Contaminants – an urban issue**

### Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs):

- Persist in the environment.
- •Long-range transport.
- Bio-accumulate through the food web.
- Pose a risk of causing adverse effects to human health and the environment.

### **Endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs):**

•Substances may interfere with normal function of the endocrine (hormone) system of humans and animals.





## **Trichoptera (caddis) cases Springtime at** $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}$ **Benholm Mill** 1960's post 1960's The James Hutton And look what happened to me!



## **ViPER** -an innovation platform to bridge science & decision-making in catchment microbial dynamics

Visualising Pathogen & Environmental Risk David Oliver, Phil Bartie, Louise Heathwaite, Larissa Pschetz, Richard Quilliam





Water Ecosystem Services A Global Perspective Part of International Hydrology Series



Editors: Julia Martin-Ortega, Robert C. Ferrier, Iain J. Gordon (JHI), Shahbaz Khan UNESCO

March 2015, ISBN: 9781107100374



http://www.hutton.ac.uk/staff/julia-martin-ortega

Key research elements to develop catchment citizenship



Understanding different perspectives

Achieving shared values

Mitigation of real issues

Living with uncertainty

# Fine sediment pollution







## Foci for fine sediment mitigation research: impacts, characterisation, standards, mitigation



Newcombe, C. P., and J. O. T. Jensen. 1996. Channel suspended sediment and fisheries: a synthesis for quantitative assessment of risk and impact. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. 16: 693-727.

Focus for fine sediment mitigation research: Spatial analysis of costs, benefits and effectiveness of mitigation options





# Rural SUDS options



## **Opportunities for catchment scale** water governance to deal with real issues

### **eg.** Interviews with Lunan stakeholders:



- There was stakeholder interest in water regulatory groups to allow for "lighter touch regulation"
  - multiple water abstractors join within one licence to internally manage abstraction
  - Multiple parties interested in a dredging program to join within one licence to manage local dredging.
- These require organization by catchment farmers/other stakeholders to enter into new discourses with SEPA
- Potential for facilitating body such as the NFUS, SRUC, or Rivers Trusts to enable neutral, efficient facilitation.
- A catchment-wide approach should be developed/funded for water regulatory groups for eg:
  - licence reform
  - water storage retention measures
  - dredging management
  - sediment erosion control
  - valuation of ecosystem services

Lindsay Rear, 2014 Univ. Quebec intern



**Tilting weirs** 



Scoping the potential for improved water management on Lunan water through PES scheme



- Assume a mean marginal value of irrigation water of of £5.2/m<sup>3</sup>
- In 2013, the reduction in abstraction if restriction took place at 10% of  $Q_{95}$  would have been 19,404m<sup>3</sup> ( $\cong$  2 cm water in Balgavies/Rescobie wetlands)
- The marginal value of this water for irrigation is approx. £100,000
- Lunan flow data suggest 3 years in 10 are as dry or drier than 2013
- Annualised economic value for irrigation of a scheme to mitigate low flows is ca £30,000 (apart from other costs and benefits)
- Also benefit to low flows/ecology downstream which is at < GES</p>

Stakeholder environment

Awareness, appraisal and synthesis of the best of agricultural water management innovations in measures and governance Project partners

Regional network facilitators: A subset of the CoP managing network interactions in EU regions

#### Panel of experts:

A subset of the CoP to evaluate & score solutions brought into and during the project

#### Community of Practice (CoP):

Bid partners, key representatives of the wider networks

#### Wide impact networks across the agri-water innovation value chain:

COPA-COGECA membership, Terrena membership, EIP groups, WssTP Task Groups, EU JRC, WFD agri C.I.S. group, EU Centre for River Restoration, Natural Water Retention Measures group, DG Innov, Agri, Env other EU & regional water interest groups

Interactive acceleration of innovation in the community: economic, production, resource and environmental benefits

## **INTRINSIC - H2020 Water Innovation Proposal**

Knowledge transfer of innovation and its transferability across the water management and agricultural sectors



Key research elements to develop catchment citizenship



Understanding different perspectives

Achieving shared values

Mitigation of real issues

## • Living with uncertainty

## The Demon's Apprentice<sup>1</sup>

| Attribute                               | Demon        | Apprentice |  |
|---|--------------|------------|--|
| Perfect knowledge of equations          | $\checkmark$ | ✓          |  |
| Perfect knowledge of parameters         | ✓            | ✓          |  |
| Perfect knowledge of initial conditions | ✓            | ×          |  |
| Infinite computing power                | $\checkmark$ | ✓          |  |



X

X

X

Χ





<sup>1</sup>Frigg, R et al. 2014. Laplace's Demon and the Adventures of His Apprentices. Philosophy of Science, 81(1), 31–59



# Trend analysis on loads and flow weighted concentrations



Using Beale Ratio Estimator

 Fable 4
 Trends (t) on a log scale in discharge, surface water nutrient loads and flow weighted concentrations (fwc) and corresponding p-values

 p). Cells that are significant at the 5% level are in bold

|  | $\log(Q)$  |   |   | log(TDN load)  |   | TDN fwc  |  | log(TDP load)                             |   | TDP fwc   |   |
|--|------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| Site   |            | t                                       | p                                       | t  | р   | t  | p  | t   | р   | t   | p   |
| Kirkton Mill (1990–20<br>Kirkton Mill (2006–20         | 10)<br>10) | 0.005<br>0.028                          | 0.068<br>0.276                          | -0.003<br>0.001  | 0.704<br>0.981                                    | $-0.190 \\ 0.058$                              | <0.001<br>0.453                            | $-0.011 \\ -0.097$                        | 0.004                                     | $-0.018 \\ -0.096$  | <0.001<br>0.041                           |
| Baldardo<br>Lemno<br>Balgavies<br>Burnside<br>Newmills |            | 0.039<br>0.074<br>0.012<br>0.090<br>n/a | 0.185<br>0.051<br>0.813<br>0.348<br>n/a | $\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \\ 0.023 \\ -0.0003 \\ 0.046 \\ 0.047 \end{array}$ | 0.916<br>0.519<br>0.996<br>0.609<br><u>0</u> .563 | -0.837<br>-1.324<br>-0.221<br>-0.597<br>-1.022 | 0.011<br><0.001<br>0.241<br>0.024<br>0.077 | 0.080<br>0.059<br>0.041<br>0.243<br>0.257 | 0.131<br>0.207<br>0.304<br>0.120<br>0.098 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.040 \\ -0.015 \\ 0.029 \\ 0.153 \\ 0.167 \end{array}$ | 0.355<br>0.472<br>0.138<br>0.144<br>0.089 |
|  |            |   |   |  | 7   |  |  |   | \<br>\                                    |   |   |

Note: no trends in N loads and only trends in P loads at outlet (concentrations show trend because of dry -> wet years)

Better time series and BACI analysis methods needed...and patience!



RISK EVENT EVENT CHARACTERISTICS

INFORMATION FLOW INTERPRETATION AND RESPONSE SPREAD OF IMPACT

TYPE OF IMPACT (COMPANY LEVEL)

## Key research elements to develop catchment citizenship

Understanding different perspectives



- Achieving shared values
  - Innovative and balanced knowledge exchange with all citizens
- Mitigation of real issues
  - Methods for getting real solutions into real catchments
- Living with uncertainty
  - Engender trust by dealing with uncertainty honestly





### Catchment citizenship barometer

The larges Hutton



### hype-free approach to here and now "wicked" issues



