

<u>**G Hayman (PI)**</u><sup>1</sup>, <u>**E Comyn-Platt**</u><sup>1</sup>, C Huntingford<sup>1</sup>, S Chadburn<sup>2,3</sup>, E Blyth<sup>1</sup>, T Marthews<sup>1</sup>, N Gedney<sup>2</sup>, E Burke<sup>2</sup>, H Lee<sup>4</sup>, CLUES1.5 and MOC1.5 project teams

<sup>1</sup> Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (Wallingford);
<sup>2</sup> Met Office Hadley Centre;
<sup>3</sup> University of Leeds;
<sup>4</sup> University of Bergen

NERC-BEIS Workshop

London, 11<sup>th</sup> September 2018











## Natural methane feedbacks from wetlands and permafrost thaw



- Frozen organic soils are a major carbon pool (1300 Gt C), larger than that of the atmosphere
- Current analyses assume permafrost carbon released as CO<sub>2</sub>
- ▶ 2-3% of carbon released as  $CH_4 \rightarrow$  enhanced climate impact (Schuur et al., 2015)
- Additional processes to consider/add



#### Schuur et al., Nature, 2015



Centre for Ecology & Hydrology









#### Overall objectives

- To assess Arctic carbon releases that are not included in current climate analyses,
- To quantify the corresponding climate feedbacks and the impact of these additional emissions on allowed human emissions to stabilise at 1.5 °C or 2 °C.
- Use JULES land surface model and IMOGEN climate emulator
  - By accounting for these additional feedbacks, how much more do we restrict the allowable human emissions to achieve the 1.5 °C and 2 °C stabilisation goals?
  - Is there a significant difference in 1.5 °C vs. 2 °C stabilisation in terms of methane and permafrost carbon emissions, and permafrost thaw - how much benefit would there be to staying below 1.5°C?
  - How large are the remaining uncertainties?

### Outputs

- Publication(s) for use in IPCC Special Report
- JULES methane wetland emission estimates used in GCP CH<sub>4</sub> Budget (Saunois et al., Earth System Science Data Discussions, 2016)









## **IMOGEN** intermediate complexity climate emulator





Huntingford et al., 2010 Geoscientific Model Development, 3, 679–687.



## **Inverse version of IMOGEN**





Comyn-Platt, Hayman, Huntingford, et al., 2018:. "Permafrost and natural methane feedbacks limit emission budgets to 1.5 or 2.0°C of warming", Nature Geoscience.



## **Common Baseline Scenario for CLIFFTOP, CLUES and MOC1.5**

#### **IMOGEN** configuration

- Inverted form
- Same 3 temperature profiles
  - a. 1.5°C by 2100
  - b. 1.5°C by 2100 with overshoot
  - c. 2.0°C by 2100

#### **Project-specific focus**

#### **CLIFFTOP**

- Permafrost
- Soil carbon
- Wetlands and wetland methane <u>CLUES1.5</u>
- LULUC projections, especially for BECCS, afforestation/ reforestation
- 13 vegetation types

#### MOC1.5

- Mitigation of anthropogenic methane emissions
- Impact of vegetation ozone damage on carbon uptake









## **CLIFFTOP Results: Permafrost areal extent**



Profile	1m Permafrost Area Loss (Mha)	3m Permafrost Area Loss (Mha)	Permafrost carbon entering active CC (GtC)	Permafrost carbon loss to the atmosphere (GtC)
1.5°C	119 (110-132)	138 (122-154)	43.1 (40.0-46.3)	11.9 (11.6-12.2)
1.5°C (overshoot)	133 (119-148)	176 (156-200)	48.7 (45.6-51.2)	12.5 (12.1-13.0)
2°C	202 (185-232)	239 (226-257)	67.3 (61.9-72.0)	13.3 (12.8-13.8)



(a)

Note: Permafrost thaw effects on carbon cycle not fully realised at 2100



## **CLIFFTOP Results: Impact on Permissible Anthropogenic Emissions**

Change from 2015



Baseline Scenario With Permafrost & Methane Feedbacks

% Change





## **Remaining carbon budgets**



Source: Millar et al., 2017: Nature Geoscience

This work: 227-283 GtC (2015-2100) Offset for clarity











#### CLIFFTOP

- ✓ Paper published Comyn-Platt et al., 2018: Nature Geoscience, 11, 568–573.
- ✓ Cited in IPCC Special Report on 1.5°C Warming
- Made available for use in IPCC Special Report on the Oceans & Cryosphere
- Input provided to Tyndall report for BEIS on NERC Warming programme

# Additional NERC Funding to March 2018 for impact activities for CLIFFTOP, CLUES1.5 and MOC1.5

- ✓ Synthesis paper on 3 projects to compare different options: BECCS vs methane
- ✓ Production of project policy cards
- ✓ Bespoke policy briefing to BEIS, CCC, Defra

#### Thawing permafrost and natural wetlands can make it more difficult to limit global warming

A warming climate will trigger extra, but natural, emissions of carbon dioxide and methane into the atmosphere. These gases arise from thawing permafrost and warming weltlands. As both gases are greenhouse gases, they add to those emitted from the burning of fossil fuels by humans. These mechanisms are well known. They are typically not considered when estimating the allowable human carbon emissions that correspond to particular warming levels. For a 1.5°C warming target, we estimate the

For a 1.5°C warming target, we estimate the inclusion of thawing permafrost and of wetland emissions reduces the allowable human carbon emissions by around 116 GtCO<sub>2</sub>.

#### What this research is about

The majority of countries have agreed to set target to limit dangerous climate change. The Paris Agree sets a target of restricting warming to 2°C above pretemperatures, with a further aspirational of 1.5°C. The question then becomes: what emissions from fossil fuels are compatible with these temperature target These are called "allowable global carbon emissions The CLIFFTOP project calculates how the an of allowable global carbon emissions is affected by permafrost thaw and wetland emissions in a warming vorld by 2100. Permafrost is soil or rock that is below freezing temperature. In a warming world, this thaws, and the previously frozen organic carbon can be released into the atmosphere as carbon dioxide (mainly) and methane (minor but this is amplified by its greater warming potential). Similarly, wetlands, which cover a significant fraction of the Earth's land surface, will release more methane as the planet warms.

















## **Key Messages**

- Natural carbon and methane climate feedbacks from wetlands and permafrost thaw are important
- For stabilisation at 2°C warming, the permissible anthropogenic fossil carbon budget is reduced by 161 Gt CO<sub>2</sub> or 8.5 (6-10)% by inclusion of these feedbacks
- These feedbacks become more important for stabilisation at lower temperature targets: 12 (9-15)% for stabilisation at 1.5°C warming
- There is little difference between stabilisation at 1.5°C warming with an overshoot to 1.75°C and without the overshoot.















