

# On-site habitat management to reduce atmospheric nitrogen impacts on terrestrial habitats

Carly Stevens

Laurence Jones, Ed Rowe, Sarah Dale, Jane Hall, Richard Payne, Chris Evans, Lucy Sheppard, Simon Caporn, Bridget Emmett



# Aims

- To review the effectiveness of 'on-site' land management methods to reduce nitrogen deposition impacts;
- To assess what effect current management practice, has on habitat response to nitrogen deposition;
- To consider how measures may be affected by climate change or management in response to climate change, in the near-term;
- To recommend realistic and practical management measures for different habitat types which could be used to reduce nitrogen impacts or speed recovery.



Broad habitat	Management method	Potential to reduce N deposition impact or aid recovery	Strength of evidence
Broadleaved, mixed and yew woodland & (natural) coniferous woodland	Grazing and Browing	Medium	2
	Litter removal	High	1
	Thinning or harvesting	Low	2
	Burning	Low	3
Neutral grassland	Grazing	Medium	3
	Cutting	Medium	2
	Liming	Medium	3
	Introduction of hemi-parasitic species	High	2
	Hydrological management	Low	3
	Carbon addition	Medium	3
	Turf stripping	Low	3
Calcareous grassland	Grazing	Medium	3
	Cutting	Medium	2
	Sheep folding	?	3
	Glyphosphate control of <i>B. pinnatum</i>	Low	2
Acid grasslands	Grazing	High	1
	Burning	Low	3
	Liming	Medium	3
	Cutting	Medium	2
Dwarf shrub heath	Turf stripping	High	2
	Rotavating	Low	2
	Grazing	Medium	2
	Cutting	High	1
	Burning	High	2
Fen, marsh and swamp	Grazing	Low	3
	Cutting	High	2
	Burning	Medium	3
	Hydrological management	Medium	3
	Topsoil removal	Medium	3
Bogs	Hydrological management	Medium	3
	Burning	?	3
Coastal dunes and slacks	Grazing	Medium	2
	Cutting	Medium	2
	Burning	?	3
	Hydrological management	Medium	3
	Turf stripping and topsoil removal	Medium	3
	Dune mobilisation	High	2
Other coastal habitats	Grazing	Medium	3
	Cutting	?	3



# Habitats



# Current management - Grasslands

- Grazing
  - Little change in N
  - Opens the canopy increasing light levels
- Cutting
  - Net removal of N
  - Opens the canopy increasing light levels
- Scrub removal
  - Potentially large off take of N





# Current management - Heathlands

- Grazing
- Cutting
- Burning
  - Net removal of N
  - Opens the canopy increasing light levels
- Scrub removal



# Current management - Bogs

- Scrub removal
- Hydrological management
  - Could increase denitrification



# Current management - Dunes

- Grazing
- Scrub removal





# Current management - Woodland

- Grazing
- Coppicing
  - Large offtake of N
  - Increases light at ground level
- Felling
  - Increased decomposition, mineralisation, denitrification and nitrification
  - Increased leaching
- Deadwood management



# Innovative management options

- Sheep folding
  - Potential to remove N
  - Labour intensive but traditional practice
- Litter removal
  - Traditionally used for animal bedding
  - Probably only lowland English woodlands
  - Potential to remove N
  - Negative impacts on soils unknown



# Recommendations

- General
  - Manage habitats well
  - Do not add fertilisers
  - Do not supplementary feed
- Woodland
  - Do not create deadwood by felling
  - Explore litter removal as a management option
- Grasslands
  - Winter and mixed grazing
  - Investigate potential use of stock management
  - Explore the potential for low levels of liming



# Recommendations

- Dwarf shrub heath
  - Burning to remove N
  - Remove clippings when cutting
  - Turf stripping in areas of extreme damage
- Bogs
- Coastal dunes
  - Remobilisation
  - Increased use of grazing and cutting





# Acknowledgements

- This study was funded by: Countryside Council for Wales, Natural England, Scottish Natural heritage, Department of Environment Northern Ireland and Joint Nature Conservation Committee.
- We are grateful to the steering group and habitat specialists for their input to the project.

