LTLS WP2: NEW MEASUREMENTS AND DATA GAP-FILLING

IN-SITU DENITRIFICATION AND N₂O EMISSION IN NATURAL AND SEMI-NATURAL LAND USE TYPES

Fotis Sgouridis and Sami Ullah





Objectives of the project:

> Measure monthly *in situ* denitrification rates in natural and semi-natural land use types.

Assess the relative controls of the denitrification process in relation to land use management.

Estimate annual denitrification rates for input into the terrestrial N-model to be developed under the LTLS project.

Study sites

<u>Conwy River Catchment (C)</u>: IG – Improved Grassland AG – Acid Grassland PB – Peat Bog (Migneint) MW – Mixed Woodland

<u>Ribble-Wyre Catchment (R)</u>: IG – Improved Grassland SIG – Semi-Improved Grassland HL – Heathland DW – Deciduous Woodland



Methods: In Situ Denitrification

¹⁵N Gas-Flux method (Stevens & Laughlin, 1998; 2001)

- Highly enriched (98 at%) ¹⁵N-labelled KNO₃⁻ is injected at tracer level amounts (10% of ambient NO₃⁻ concentration: typically 1-60 mg ¹⁵N/m²) up to 10 cm depth and within 5% change of the soil VWC.
- The plot (area: 500 cm², volume: 3-4 L) is enclosed by a chamber for 20 hours. Five plots per site (40 plots/month x 18 months).
- Gas samples are collected at 0, 1, 2 and 20 hours for ¹⁵N-N₂ and ¹⁵N-N₂O analysis by IRMS







- PCA explains 89.7 % of the variance between samples (n=280) according to 5 environmental variables
- > The samples are grouped into 5 clusters that represent distinct land use types

Results: In situ Denitrification



In situ Denitrification (DNT) significantly influenced by land use type (p<0.05) :</p>
OS = MW = DW < SIG < IG</p>

- The trend is confirmed by a laboratory study of Denitrification Potential (DP) in the same land use types. DP was on average 20 times higher than DNT.
- DNT follows a gradient of nitrate availability between land use types. Positive correlation between DNT and soil nitrate (r= 0.43, p<0.01; r= 0.54 without MW)</p>

Results: N₂O Emission



N₂O emission from Denitrification followed a similar trend to DNT (r= 0.58, p<0.01) : OS < MW < DW < SIG < IG</p>

- The ratio of N₂O:N₂ was significantly higher in the nitrate rich land use types (p<0.05) ranging between 0.2 and 4 % across organic to grassland soils respectively.</p>
- ➤ The positive correlation between $N_2O:N_2$ and soil nitrate (r= 0.47, p<0.01) indicates a higher potential for N_2O emission from nitrate rich soils, whilst low soil water content (r= -0.47, p<0.01) can exacerbate this effect (e.g. MW).

Results: Denitrification Controls



- Denitrification optimum at WFPS 60 70% (SIG, IG, DW)
 - Optimum pH around 6 (SIG, IG) (r= 0.31, p<0.01)</p>
 - No significant effect of carbon availability to denitrification in these land use types (r= -0.07, p>0.05)
 - Optimum C:N ratio between 10 and 20 (all LUs but OS)



Annual Denitrification and N₂O fluxes



- Annual Denitrification range: 1.9 22.6 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹
- Annual N₂O flux range: 0.005 0.3 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹
- The relative amount of N₂O contributed by denitrification varied across the sites, ranging from 0.2 to 75%
- The largest contribution of N₂O due to denitrification was observed in the DW, while the lowest in the MW.

Conclusions

- The ¹⁵N-Gas Flux method can be successfully applied in a variety of land use types for high temporal and spatial resolution measurement of *in situ* denitrification
- > The method allows the simultaneous quantification of N₂ and N₂O fluxes due to denitrification and thus the ratio of N₂O/N₂ and also the source apportionment for N₂O can be estimated more accurately

Denitrification and N₂O emission rates across the natural and semi-natural land use types in this study appear to follow a nitrate availability gradient, which is influenced by both natural variability and land use management:

Organic soils < Forest soils <Semi-improved <Improved grassland

- > The relative importance of other controlling factors (e.g. organic carbon, moisture, bulk density and pH), as these are influenced by the relative degree of land management within each land use type, exert additional controls on denitrification rates
- Land management practices can have significant impacts on the biogeochemical controls of denitrification, and thus need consideration when modelling denitrification across large spatio-temporal scales and/or predicting the response of denitrification to land use change

Acknowledgements

>Analytical assistance by Dr A. Stott at the NERC's Stable Isotope Facility (Lancaster) and field sites' permissions by Mr R. Rhodes (Wyre), Mr E. Ritchie (Conwy), Mr M. Colledge (Forestry Commission), Abeystead Estate (Ribble) and National Trust (Wales) is acknowledged.

>The UK NERC funded this project through a grant awarded to Keele University, UK (Ref # NE/J011541/1).